## A NEW TETRAHYDROCANNABINOLIC ACID

R. Mechoulam, Z. Ben-Zvi, B. Yagnitinsky and A. Shani.

Laboratory of Natural Products, School of Pharmacy, Hebrew University

Jerusalem.

(Received in UK 24 March 1969; accepted for publication 15 May 1969)

A major component of hashish is the acid I (1) which, though inactive per se, is converted on smoking into the active  $\Delta^1$ -tetrahydrocannabinol ( $\Delta^1$ -THC) (II) (2). We wish to report now the presence in some hashish samples of a second  $\Delta^1$ -THC acid, III, which on heating, and presumably on smoking, is likewise converted into  $\Delta^1$ -THC.

In order to differentiate between the two acids we suggest that acid I be named "  $\Delta^1$ -THC acid A" and III "  $\Delta^1$ -THC acid B".

Careful chromatography of the cannabinoid acidic material of a hashish sole on silicic acid (Merck, 70-325 mesh) yielded cannabidiolic acid (3), (eluted with 10% ether in pet. ether),  $\Delta^1$ -THC acid B (eluted with 50% ether in pet. ether) and cannabigerolic acid (3) (eluted with ether). In this hashish sample III was present in 0.5% over-all concentration. The physical constants of  $\Delta^1$ -THC acid B are as follows: m.p.  $184-5^{\circ}$  (d)(from CHCl<sub>3</sub>),  $[\bowtie]$  GHCl<sub>3</sub>-202°;  $\Delta^{\text{EtOH}}_{\text{max}}$  216m $_{\mu}$  ( $\epsilon$ , 27800), 250m $_{\mu}$  (sh) ( $\epsilon$ , 3210), 285m $_{\mu}$  (sh) ( $\epsilon$ , 1680);  $\Delta^{\circ}$  (ppm) in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 0.87 (CH<sub>3</sub>, tr), 1.20, 1.56, 1.68 (3 CH<sub>3</sub>, s), 2.70-3.70 (3 H, benzylic, mult.), 6.30 (1 H, olefinic, br), 6.50 (1 H, aromatic, s), no peaks above 7.25 (CHCl<sub>3</sub>);  $\Delta^{\circ}$  max  $\Delta^{\circ}$  1710 cm<sup>-1</sup> (strong) (COOH); mass spectrum, very similar (4) to that of  $\Delta^{\circ}$ -THC in addition to mass numbers 358 (M<sup>+</sup>) and 343 (M<sup>+</sup>-15). On TLC (silica gel; development with 88% benzene- 10% methanol - 2% acetic acid)

On TLC (silica gel; development with 88% benzene- 10% methanol - 2% acetic acid,  $\Delta^1$ -THC acid B is considerably more polar than  $\Delta^1$ -THC acid A.

The structure of III is further elucidated by the chemical correlations indicated in the Chart. Some salient data are indicated below the formulas in the Chart. The I.R. spectra are particularly illuminative. In the B series the carboxyl and carbomethoxyl groups absorb between

All new compounds gave correct analytical values and have the expected spectroscopic properties.

1710 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1725 cm<sup>-1</sup>, while those in the A series absorb at 1615 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 1650 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Of special interest are also the coversions with borontrifluoride etherate of compounds of the B series into those of the A series. We believe that this isomerization takes place by opening of the pyran ring (with borontrifluoride etherate) and cyclization with the alternative phenolic group to, what appears to be, a thermodynamically more stable system.

A comparison of the U.V. and I.R. spectral properties of  $\Delta^1$ -THC acid B (III) with those of  $\Delta^1$ -THC acid A (I)<sup> $\dagger$ </sup> indicate that in III there is loss of coplanarity of the carboxyl group due to steric hindrance. In I this effect is minimized, apparently by hydrogen bonding with the free phenolic group.

The acid B (III) was found in hashish soles which contain very little or none of the acid I. It is conceivable that the differences in THC acid content may be due to biochemical variation and may have chemotaxonomic importance.

Both  $\Delta^1$ -THC acids A and B showed no psychotomimetric activity in rhesus monkeys (5) when administered i.v. in doses up to 10mg/kgr.

Acknowledgments: We thank the US National Institute of Mental Health for partial support of this work (Grant MH 13180) and to Drs. H. Edery and Y. Grunfeld of the Israel Institute for Biological Research, Nes-Ziona for the monkey tests.

## References

- F. Korte, M. Haag and U. Claussen, <u>Angew. Chem.</u> (Intern. Ed.), <u>4</u>, 872 (1965);
   T. Yamauchi, Y. Shoyama, H. Aremaki, T. Azuma and I. Nishioka, <u>Chem. Pharm. Bull.</u>, <u>15</u>, 1075 (1967). For a synthesis of Δ<sup>1</sup>-THC acid A, see R. Mechoulam and Z. Ben-Zvi, <u>Chem. Commun.</u>, (in press).
- Y. Gaoni and R. Mechoulam, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 86, 1646 (1964).
- 3. R. Mechoulam and Y. Gaoni, Tetrahedron, 21, 1223 (1965).
- H. Budzikiewicz, R. T. Aplin, D. A. Lighter, C. Djerassi, R. Mechoulam and Y. Gaoni, <u>Tetrahedron</u>, <u>22</u>, 2535 (1966).
- 5. cf. Y. Grunfeld and H. Edery Psychopharmacologia, 14, 200 (1969).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> λmax 221mμ (ε, 26500), 260mμ (ε, 7900), 303mμ (ε, 4100); δ (CCl<sub>4</sub>), 0.92, 1.10, 1.43, 1.65 (methyl groups), 6.15 (aromatic H), 6.38 (olefinic H), 12.75 and 11.95 (each 1 H, due to H bonded 0<u>H</u> and COO<u>H</u>); λ chCl<sub>3</sub> 1615 cm<sup>-1</sup> (strong) (<u>CC</u>OH).

Chart. Interrelations of the THC acids Reagents: 1)  $\text{CH}_2\text{N}_2$ ; 2)  $\rho$  - toluene sulphonic acid, benzene,  $80^\circ$ ; 3) BF 3 etherate, r.t. in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ ; 4)  $140^\circ$ .